

Groups of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)^*$

Fabio Enrique Brochero Martínez

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada, IMPA

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{G}$ a group of germs of analytic diffeomorphisms in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. We find some remarkable properties supposing that $\mathcal{G}$ is finite, linearizable, abelian nilpotent and solvable. In particular, if the group is abelian and has a generic dicritic diffeomorphisms, then the group is a subgroup of a 1-parametric group. In addition, we study the topological behaviour of the orbits of a dicritic diffeomorphisms. Last, we find some invariants in order to know when two diffeomorphisms are formally conjugates.

1 Introduction

The study of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms and finitely generated groups of analytic germs of diffeomorphisms fixing the origin in one complex variable was started in the XIX century, and has been intensively studied by mathematicians in the past century. Such groups appear naturally when we study the holonomy group of some leaf of codimension one holomorphic foliation.

From the Poincaré-Siegel linearization theorem, (See [2] or [11]) it follows the study of local topology and the analytical and topological classification of diffeomorphisms of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ having linear part in the Poincaré domain, or in the Siegel domain that satisfy the Brjuno condition. The resonant case in one dimension is well known too, the local topology and topological classification was giving in Camacho [3]. Moreover the analytical classification in the resonant case is due to Écalle [8], Voronin [21], Martinet and Ramis [12] and Malgrange [13].

The topological classification in dimension 2 in the partially hyperbolic case with resonances has been studied by Canille [5] and the topological behavior in dimension ≥ 2 in the partial hyperbolic case by Ueda [19]. In the case, tangent to the identity, Hakim [10] and Abate [1], have shown the existence of parabolic attractive points.

In addition, there is an almost complete analytic classification of the group of one dimensional germs when we assume some algebraic hypothesis as finiteness (See

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:32H50, 58D05, 37Fxx

[14]), abelian, solvable (see [6] and [15]). See [9] for a complete survey of this classification.

In this work, we deal with germs of diffeomorphisms in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and the finitely generated group of germs.

In section 2 we give the definitions and preliminary results. In section 3 we study the finite and linearizable groups of diffeomorphisms. We prove a generalization of Mattei-Moussu topological criteria about finiteness of a group,

Theorem 3.1 *Let $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. The group generated by F is finite if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of 0, such that $|\mathcal{O}_V(F, X)| < \infty$ for all $X \in V$ and F leaves invariant infinite analytic varieties at 0.*

It is easy to see that finite groups case is a particular case of the linearizable case. Proposition 3.4 shows that in a special case the topological linearization implies the analytical linearization. In addition, for this special case we construct the moduli space (topological vs analytical) of the diffeomorphisms that are conjugate that diffeomorphism

Theorem 3.4 *Let be $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $A = DF(0)$ is a diagonalizable matrix with norm 1 eigenvalue, i.e. $A = \text{diag}(e^{2\pi i \lambda_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \lambda_n})$ where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that F is topologically linearizable. Then*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{\text{top}}(F)}{\mathcal{H}_{\text{hol}}(F)} \simeq \pm \frac{SL(n, \mathbb{Z})}{SL_A(n, \mathbb{Z})}$$

where $SL_A(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \{B \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) | (B - I)\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}$.

In particular, we prove that the moduli space topological vs C^∞ is trivial.

Finally, we show that a group of diffeomorphisms is linearizable if and only if there exists a vector field with radial first jet invariant by the group-action, i.e.

Theorem 3.5 *A group $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is analytically linearizable if and only if there exists a vector field $\mathcal{X} = \vec{R} + \dots$, where $\vec{R}$ is a radial vector field such $\mathcal{X}$ is invariant for every $F \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $F^*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$.*

In section 4 we study the groups of diffeomorphisms supposing that they have some algebraic structure. We prove that if $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is a solvable group then its 7th commutator subgroup is trivial. Furthermore, we characterize the abelian subgroup of diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity, and in the case when the group contains a dicritic diffeomorphism, i.e. the group contains a diffeomorphism $F(X) = X + F_{k+1}(X) + \dots$ where $F_{k+1}(X) = f(X)X$ and f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k , we prove that the group is a subgroup of a one parameter group. We write $\text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ to denote the group of diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be abelian group, and $F \in \mathcal{G}$ a dicritic*

diffeomorphism. Suppose that $\exp(\mathfrak{f})(x, y) = F(x, y)$ where

$$\mathfrak{f} = (f(x, y)x + p_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (f(x, y)y + q_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

$f(x, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and $\text{g.c.d}(f, xq_{k+2}(x, y) - yp_{k+2}(x, y)) = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{G} < \langle \exp(t\mathfrak{f})(x, y) | t \in \mathbb{C} \rangle.$$

In the section 5 we analyze the behavior of the orbits of a diffeomorphism tangent to the identity. We prove a generalization of the one dimensional flower theorem to two dimensional dicritic diffeomorphisms, i.e.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $F : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a dicritic diffeomorphism fixing zero, i.e. F can be represented by a convergent series*

$$F(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x + xp_k(x, y) + p_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots \\ y + yp_k(x, y) + q_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\tilde{F} = \Pi^* F : (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D)$ be the continuous extension of the diffeomorphism after making the blow-up in $(0, 0)$. Then there exist open sets $U^+, U^- \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that

1. $\overline{U^+ \cup U^-}$ is a neighborhood of $D \setminus \{(1 : v) \in D | p_k(1, v) = 0\}$.
2. For all $P \in U^+$, the sequence $\{\tilde{F}^n(P)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}^n(P) \in D$.
3. For all $P \in U^-$, the sequence $\{\tilde{F}^{-n}(P)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}^{-n}(P) \in D$.

An equivalent local theorem is proven in the non dicritic case.

Finally, in section 6 we show the formal classification of diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity using the notion of the semiformal conjugacy. We show that a representative diffeomorphism found using semiformal conjugacy and a cocycle determine its formal conjugacy class.

In the case of dicritic diffeomorphisms, we find a rational function that it is going to play an equivalent role to the residue in a one dimension diffeomorphism.

Theorem 6.3 *Let $\tilde{F} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be dicritic diffeomorphism and $F(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{k+2}(\cdots) \\ v + x^{k+1}(\cdots) \end{pmatrix}$ be the continuous extension of the diffeomorphism after making the blow-up in $(0, 0)$. Then there exists a unique rational function $q(v)$ such that F is semiformally conjugate to*

$$G_F = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{2k+1}q(v) \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{p(v) = 0\}$. In addition, $q(v) = \frac{s(v)}{p(v)^{2k+1}}$ where $s(v)$ is a polynomial of degree $2k + 2 + 2k\partial(p(v))$.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ denote the group of n -dimensional formal diffeomorphisms fixing zero, i.e.

$$\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \{H(X) = AX + P_2(X) + \cdots \mid A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C}), P_i \in \mathbb{C}^n[[X]]_i\},$$

where $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\mathbb{C}^n[[X]]_i$ is the set of n -dimensional vectors with coefficients homogeneous polynomials of degree i .

Let $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \subset \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ denote the pseudo-group of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms, i.e. the power series that represent every $H \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ convergent in some neighborhood of $0 \in (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$.

For each $j \geq 2$, let $\widehat{\text{Diff}}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ (resp. $\text{Diff}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$) denote the subgroup of formal (resp. analytic) diffeomorphisms j -flat, i.e. $F(X) \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ (resp. $F(X) \in \text{Diff}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$) then $F(X) = X + P_j(X) + \cdots$.

The same way define $\hat{\chi}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ the Lie algebra of formal vector fields of $\mathbb{C}^n$, j -flat in $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e.

$$\hat{\chi}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \{F_1(X)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \cdots + F_n(X)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \mid \text{where } F_k \in \bigoplus_{i=j}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}[[X]]_i\}.$$

Proposition 2.1 *The exponential map $\exp : \hat{\chi}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Diff}}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a bijection for every $j \geq 2$.*

Proof: The proof follows from a straightforward generalization of the proposition 1.1. in [12]. $\square$

Notice that $\hat{\chi}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is the formal Lie algebra associate to the formal Lie group $\widehat{\text{Diff}}_j(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. It is not difficult to prove that if the vector field is holomorphic, then the associated diffeomorphism is also holomorphic. The converse is false in general.

Definition 2.1 $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G} \subset \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ are called **formally (analytically) conjugate** if there exists $g \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ ($g \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$) such that $g \circ \mathcal{H} \circ g^{-1} = \mathcal{G}$.

Definition 2.2 $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G} \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ are called **topologically conjugate** if there exists $t : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ bijective group homomorphism and $g : U \rightarrow g(U)$ local homeomorphism at 0, such that $F \circ g = g \circ t(F)$ for all $F \in \mathcal{H}$ in some neighborhood of 0.

In particular, some group $\mathcal{G}$ is called linearizable if there exists g such that $g \circ \mathcal{G} \circ g^{-1}$ is a linear group action. Moreover, if g is a diffeomorphism formal, analytic or continuous then the group is called formally, analytically or topologically linearizable respectively. Let $\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$ denote the set $\{DG(0)|G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. To understand when a diffeomorphism is formally and analytically linearizable we need the following definition

Definition 2.3 Let $G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. G is called **resonant** if the eigenvalues of $G'(0)$, $\lambda := (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$, satisfies some relation like

$$\lambda^m - \lambda_j = \lambda_1^{m_1} \cdots \lambda_n^{m_n} - \lambda_j = 0$$

for some $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^n$ where $|m| = m_1 + \dots + m_n \geq 2$. Otherwise, it is called non resonant.

Definition 2.4 Let $A \in \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and suppose that A is not resonant. We say that A satisfies the Brjuno condition if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \log(\Omega^{-1}(2^{k+1})) < +\infty$$

where $\Omega(k) = \inf_{\substack{2 \leq |j| \leq k \\ 1 \leq i \leq n}} |\lambda^j - \lambda_i|$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $G(X) = AX + \dots$, A diagonalizable, non resonant. Then G is formally linearizable. In addition, if G satisfies the Brjuno condition, then this linearization is in fact holomorphic.

In the resonant case in dimension one is easy to see that $f(x) = g \circ h(x)$ where g and h commute, $g^n = \text{id}$ and h is tangent to the identity. Notice that g and h are in general, formal diffeomorphisms.

Theorem 2.2 (Camacho [3]) Let $h(x) = x + ax^{k+1} + \dots$, then h is topologically conjugate with $x \mapsto x + x^{k+1}$.

Thus, the topological classification is very simple. In the same way the formal classification is simple

Theorem 2.3 Let $h(x) = x + ax^{k+1} + \dots$, then there exists ρ such that h is formally conjugate with $f_{a,k,\rho}(z) = \exp(a \frac{z^{k+1}}{1+\rho z^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z})$.

$\rho(h)$ is called the residue of h and $\rho(h) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{1}{h(x) - x} dx$. In particular, h is formally conjugate with $x \mapsto x + x^{k+1} + \rho x^{2k+1}$.

In addition, there exists a unique g_h tangent to the identity such that $g_h^* h = g_h \circ h \circ g_h^{-1} = \exp(a \chi_{k,\rho})$ where $\chi_{k,\rho} = \frac{z^{k+1}}{1+\rho z^k} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. This g_h is called the **normalizer transformation** of h .

3 Finite Groups

The finite group of germs of holomorphic diffeomorphisms in one dimension appears naturally in the study of the holonomy group of the local foliation with holomorphic first integral around a singular point.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a finite subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ (resp. $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$) then $\mathcal{H}$ is formally (analytic) linearizable, and it is isomorphic to a finite subgroup of $Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$.*

Proof: Let $g(X) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (H'(0))^{-1} H(X)$. Note that g is a diffeomorphism because $g'(0) = \#(\mathcal{H})I$, and moreover for all $F \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} g \circ F(X) &= \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} (H'(0))^{-1} H \circ F(X) = F'(0) \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}} ((H \circ F)'(0))^{-1} H \circ F(X) \\ &= F'(0)g(X) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $g \circ F \circ g^{-1}(X) = F'(0)X$. In fact, we obtain a injective groups homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &\xrightarrow{\Lambda} Gl(n, \mathbb{C}) \\ F &\longrightarrow (g \circ F \circ g^{-1})'(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

Denote by $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}} \subset Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ the group of linear parts of the diffeomorphisms in $\mathcal{H}$, and $p = \#(\mathcal{H})$, then for all matrix $A \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}}$, $A^p = I$. We claim that A is diagonalizable, in fact, for the Jordan canonical form theorem there exists $B \in Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that $BAB^{-1} = D + N$ where D is a diagonal matrix and N is such that $ND = DN$ and $N^l = 0$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$, but $A^p = I$ then $(D + N)^p = I$. To prove the claim we need the following lemma

Lemma 3.1 *Let l be the least integer such that $N^l = 0$, then $I, N, \dots, N^{l-1}$ are $\mathbb{C}$ -linearly independent.*

Proof: Let $a_m N^m + \dots + a_{l-1} N^{l-1} = 0$ be a linear combination with $a_m \neq 0$ $m \geq 0$, multiply by N^{l-m-1} we obtain that $a_m N^{l-1} = 0$, and for the minimality of l we conclude that $a_m = 0$, it is a contradiction. □

We can suppose, that each block of the Jordan canonical form is of the form $D = \lambda I$, thus $(D + N)^p = (\lambda I + N)^p = I$, therefore $(\lambda^p - 1)I + p\lambda^{p-1}N + \dots + N^p = 0$, for the lemma we have that $\lambda^p = 1$ and $N = 0$.

In order to show a topological criteria to know when a diffeomorphism is finite we need the following modification of the Lewowicz lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $M, 0 \in M$, be a complex analytic variety of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and K a connected component of 0 in $\overline{B}_r(0) \cap M$. Suppose that f is a homeomorphism from K to $f(K) \subset M$ such that $f(0) = 0$. Then there exists $x \in \partial K$ such that the number of iterations $f^m(x) \in K$ is infinity.*

Proof: Denote by $\bar{\mu} = \mu|_K$ and $\mu = \mu|_{\overset{\circ}{K}}$ the number of iteration in K and $\overset{\circ}{K}$. It is easy to see that $\bar{\mu}$ is upper semicontinuous, μ is under semicontinuous and $\bar{\mu}(x) \geq \mu(x)$ for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{K}$. Suppose by contradiction that $\bar{\mu}(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in \partial K$, therefore exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bar{\mu}(x) < n$ for all $x \in \partial K$. Let $A = \{x \in K | \bar{\mu}(x) < n\} \supset \partial K$ and $B = \{x \in \overset{\circ}{K} | \mu(x) \geq n\} \ni 0$ open set, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$ since $\bar{\mu}(x) \geq \mu(x)$.

Using the fact that K is a connected set, there exists $x_0 \in K \setminus (A \cup B)$ i.e. $\bar{\mu}(x_0) \geq n > \mu(x_0)$, then the orbit of x_0 intersects the border of K , which is a contradiction since $\partial K \subset A$ implies $x_0 \in A$. $\square$

Let $f : U \rightarrow f(U)$ be a homeomorphism with $f(0) = 0$ and $x \in U$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_U(f, x)$ the f -orbit of x that do not leave U , i.e. $y \in \mathcal{O}_U(f, x)$ if and only if $\{x, f(x), \dots, y = f^{[k]}(x)\} \subset U$ or $\{x, f^{[-1]}(x), \dots, y = f^{[-k]}(x)\} \subset U$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$. The group generated by F is finite if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of 0, such that $|\mathcal{O}_V(F, X)| < \infty$ for all $X \in V$ and F leaves invariant infinite analytic varieties at 0.*

Proof: ($\Rightarrow$) Let $N = \#\langle F \rangle$ and $h \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that $h \circ F \circ h^{-1}(x, y) = (\lambda_1 x, \lambda_2 y)$ where $\lambda_1^N = \lambda_2^N = 1$. It is clear than $|\mathcal{O}(F, X)| \leq N$ for all X in the domain of F , and $M_c = \{h(x, y) | x^N - cy^N = 0\}$ is a complex analytic variety invariant by F for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Without loss of generality we suppose that $V = \overline{B}_r(0)$ where $F(V)$ and $F^{-1}(V)$ are well defined. Let M be a F -invariant complex analytic variety and K_M the connected component of 0 in $M \cap V$. Let $A_1 = K$, $A_{j+1} = K \cap F^{-1}(A_j)$ and C_n the connected component of 0 in A_n . It is clear, by construction that A_n is the set of point of K_M with n or more iterates in K_M . Moreover, since A_n is compact and C_n is compact and connected, it follows that $C_M = \bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is compact and connected too, and therefore $C_M = \{0\}$ or C_M is non enumerable.

We claim that $C_M \cap \partial K \neq \emptyset$ and then this is non enumerable. In fact, if $C_M \cap \partial K = \emptyset$ there exists j such that $C_j \cap \partial K = \emptyset$. Let B compact connected neighborhood of C_j such that $(A_j \setminus C_j) \cap B = \emptyset$, therefore for all $X \in \partial B$ we have $\mathcal{O}_K(F, X) < N$, that is a contradiction by the lemma.

In particular, $C = C_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ is a set of point with infinite orbits in V and therefore every point in C is periodic. If we denote $D_n = \{X \in C | F^{n!}(X) = X\}$, it is clear that D_n is a close set and $D_n \subset D_{n+1}$, moreover $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} D_n$, then exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $C = D_n$. Let $G = F^{n!}$ where it is well defined, observe that C is in the domain U of G and $C \subset \{X \in U | G(X) = X\} = L$. Since L is a complex analytic variety of U that contain C then its dimension is 1 or 2. The case $\dim L = 1$ is impossible because $C_M \subset C \subset L$ for all M analytic variety F -invariant, contradicting that fact that $\mathcal{O}_2$ is Noetherian ring. In the case $\dim L = 2$ follows that $F^{n!}(X) = X$ for all $X \in U$, therefore $\langle F \rangle$ is finite. $\square$

This theorem can be extended to $\mathbb{C}^n$ if we suppose that there exist infinitely many one dimensional invariant analytic varieties at 0 in general position.

Definition 3.1 Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a group of germs of diffeomorphisms.

- a) $\mathcal{G}$ is called **periodic** if every element $F \in \mathcal{G}$ has finite order.
- b) $\mathcal{G}$ is called **locally finite** if every subgroup finitely generated is finite.
- c) $\mathcal{G}$ is called **a group of exponent d** , if $F^{[d]} = \text{id}$, for every element $F \in \mathcal{G}$.

Proposition 3.2 Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a periodic group, then the homomorphism $\mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\Lambda} \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is injective.
 $G \mapsto G'(0)$,

Proof: In fact, suppose by contradiction that there exists $F \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\Lambda(F(X)) = I$, i.e., $F(X) = X + P_k(X) + \dots$, then, by straightforward calculation we have that $F^{[r]}(X) = X + rP_k(X) + \dots \neq \text{Id}$, therefore, the unique element of $\mathcal{G}$ tangent to the identity is itself. $\square$

Corollary 3.1 Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that, every element $F \in \mathcal{G}$ has finite order, then $\mathcal{G}_1 \leq \mathcal{G}$ the subgroup of element tangent to the identity is trivial, i.e., $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{\text{id}\}$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$

- a) If there exists $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that, for every $F \in \mathcal{G}$, $F^p = \text{id}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is finite.
- b) If $\mathcal{G}$ is periodic then every finitely generated subgroup is finite.

For the proposition above we only need to prove that the item a) and b) are true for the group $\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}} < \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$. This fact follows from the next theorems

Theorem 3.2 (Burnside) If $G < \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a group with finite exponent m , then $|G| < m^{n^3}$.

Theorem 3.3 (Schur) If $G < \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a periodic group then G is locally finite.

Corollary 3.2 Let $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a finite generate group. The group $\mathcal{G}$ is finite if and only if there exists a neighborhood V of 0, such that $|\mathcal{O}_V(\mathcal{G}, X)| < \infty$ for all $X \in V$ and F leaves invariant infinite analytic varieties at 0.

Proof: From the theorem 3.1 we know that every element of $\mathcal{G}$ has finite order. Therefore from the proposition 3.2 follows that $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to the group $\{DG(0)|G \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Finally from the Schur and Burnside theorem we conclude that $\mathcal{G}$ is finite.

Proposition 3.4 *Let $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $A = DF(0)$ is a diagonalizable matrix and the eigenvalues have norm 1. Then F is analytically linearizable if and only if F is topologically linearizable.*

Proof: Suppose that F is topologically linearizable and A is a diagonal matrix, i.e. there exists a homeomorphism $h : U \rightarrow h(U)$ where U is a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, such that $h \circ F(X) = Ah(X)$ where $X \in F^{-1}(U) = W$.

Claim: there exists a neighborhood $V \subset W$ of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $F(V) = V$. In fact, let $r > 0$ such that $B(0, r) \subset h(U) \cap W$ and $V = h^{-1}(B(0, r))$, it is clear that

$$F(V) = h^{-1}(Ah(V)) = h^{-1}(A(B(0, r))) = h^{-1}(B(0, r)) = V.$$

Since $H_m(X) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^{-j} F^j(X)$ is a normal family of holomorphic diffeomorphisms defined from V to the smaller Reinhardt domain that contains V , then

$$H(X) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A^{-j} F^j(X)$$

is holomorphic in V , with $H'(0) = Id$, it follows that H is a local diffeomorphism at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

Moreover, notice that $H_m(F(X)) = AH_m(X) + \frac{1}{m}(A^{m-1}F^m(X) - X)$ and

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|A^{m-1}F^m(X) - X|}{m} = 0.$$

We conclude therefore that $H(F(X)) = AH(X)$ for all $X \in V$. □

Definition 3.2 *For all $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, let*

$$\mathcal{H}_{top}(F) = \{G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) | G \text{ is topologically conjugate with } F\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_{hol}(F) = \{G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) | G \text{ is holomorphically conjugate with } F\}.$$

Theorem 3.4 *Let be $F \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $A = DF(0)$ is a diagonalizable matrix with norm 1 eigenvalue, i.e. $A = \text{diag}(e^{2\pi i \lambda_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \lambda_n})$ where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that F is topologically linearizable. Then*

$$\frac{\mathcal{H}_{top}(F)}{\mathcal{H}_{hol}(F)} \simeq \pm \frac{SL(n, \mathbb{Z})}{SL_A(n, \mathbb{Z})}$$

where

$$SL_A(n, \mathbb{Z}) = \{B \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) | (B - I)\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n\}.$$

Proof:

From the proposition 3.4, we know that every element of $\mathcal{H}_{top}(F)$ is analytically linearizable. Let $G \in \mathcal{H}_{top}(F)$, H local homeomorphism such that $F \circ H = H \circ G$, $h := H|_{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}$, $f = F|_{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}$ and $g = G|_{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}$. If $h = (h_1, \dots, h_n)$, since the norm of h_j is invariant by F and G , we can suppose without loss of generality that $h(S^1 \times \dots \times S^1) = S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$ and then the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{h} & S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{h} & S^1 \times \dots \times S^1. \end{array}$$

Let $\Pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i z_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i z_n})$ be a universal covering of $S^1 \times \dots \times S^1$,

Let C be a lifting of $H|_{S^1 \times \dots \times S^1}$. Observe that $C|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ can be represented as a linear transformation, and since the volume of $C([0, 1]^n)$ is 1, then $C|_{\mathbb{Z}^n} = M \in \pm SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore, $C(Z) = M(\llbracket Z \rrbracket) + \theta(\{Z\})$, where $\llbracket Z \rrbracket = (\llbracket z_1 \rrbracket, \dots, \llbracket z_n \rrbracket)$, $\{Z\} = Z - \llbracket Z \rrbracket$ and $\theta = C|_{[0, 1]^n}$. In addition,

$$C(Z) + \mu + C(Z + \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $DG(0) = \text{diag}(e^{2\pi i \mu_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_n})$. In particular, making $Z = 0$ we have

$$C(j\lambda) - j\mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

and replacing μ by $\mu + m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we can suppose that $C(j\lambda) = j\mu$. It follows that

$$M(\llbracket j\lambda \rrbracket) - \llbracket j\mu \rrbracket = \{j\mu\} - \theta(\{j\lambda\}).$$

From the continuity of θ and using that there exists a positive integers increasing sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{a_n \lambda\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ and $\{a_n \mu\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, we have that $M(\llbracket a_n \lambda \rrbracket) = \llbracket a_n \mu \rrbracket$ for all $n \gg 0$, therefore

$$M\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} M(\llbracket a_n \lambda \rrbracket) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{a_n} \llbracket a_n \mu \rrbracket = \mu.$$

Thus, if we have two homeomorphism that conjugate F and G , each one has associated a matrix $M_1, M_2 \in SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $M_1\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $M_2\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, then $(M_1 M_2^{-1} - I)\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, as we want to prove. $\square$

Finally, for each element $M \in \pm \frac{SL(n, \mathbb{Z})}{SL_A(n, \mathbb{Z})}$ it is easy to see that a linear holomorphic diffeomorphism G

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (e^{2\pi i \mu_1} x_1, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_n} x_n) \quad \text{where} \quad \mu \equiv M\lambda \pmod{1},$$

and the C^∞ - diffeomorphism H

$$H(x, y) = (|x_1| e^{m_{11} \arg(x_1) + \dots + m_{1n} \arg(x_n)}, |x_n| e^{m_{n1} \arg(x_1) + \dots + m_{nn} \arg(x_n)})$$

satisfies $H \circ F = G \circ H$. $\square$

Corollary 3.3 *Let $F, G \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that they are topologically conjugates, where $A = DF(0)$ is a diagonalizable matrix with norm 1 eigenvalue, then they are C^∞ conjugate.*

Proof: Let h_1, h_2 holomorphism diffeomorphisms such that $F_1 = h_1 \circ F \circ h_1^{-1}$ and $G_1 = h_2 \circ G \circ h_2^{-1}$ are linear transformations. From the theorem there exists a matrix $M = (m_{ij}) \in \pm SL(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that the C^∞ -diffeomorphism $H(X) = \left(|x_1| e^{\sum_{j=1}^n m_{1j} \arg(x_j)}, \dots, |x_n| e^{\sum_{j=1}^n m_{nj} \arg(x_j)} \right)$ conjugate F_1 and G_1 . Therefore $h_2^{-1} \circ H \circ h_1$ conjugate F and G . $\square$

Now observe that the radical vector field is invariant by the action of every linear diffeomorphism. This fact characterizes every linearizable group

Theorem 3.5 *$\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a group analytically linearizable if and only if there exists a vector field $\mathcal{X} = \vec{R} + \dots$, where $\vec{R}$ is a radial vector field such $\mathcal{X}$ is invariant for every $F \in \mathcal{G}$, i.e. $F^*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof: ($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that $\mathcal{G}$ is linearizable, i.e. there exists $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $g^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G} \circ g = \{DF(0)Y | F \in \mathcal{G}\}$. Since $(AY)^*\vec{R} = \vec{R}$ for all $A \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, in particular for every element $F \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{R} &= (g^{-1} \circ F \circ g)^*\vec{R} = D(g^{-1} \circ F \circ g)_{(g^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ g(Y))} \cdot \vec{R}(g^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ g(Y)) \\ &= Dg_{(g(Y))}^{-1} \cdot DF_{(F^{-1}(g(Y)))} \cdot Dg_{((g^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ g(Y)))} \cdot (g^{-1} \circ F^{-1} \circ g(Y)) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $X = g(Y)$ and multiplying by $Dg_{g^{-1}(Y)}$ we have that

$$DF_{(F^{-1}(X))} \cdot Dg_{((g^{-1} \circ F^{-1}(X)))} \cdot (g^{-1} \circ F^{-1}(X)) = Dg_{g^{-1}(Y)} g^{-1}(X),$$

i.e. denoting $\mathcal{X} = Dg_{g^{-1}(X)} g^{-1}(X)$ we have that $F^*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{X} = \vec{R} + \dots$.

($\Leftarrow$) Now suppose that $F^*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$ for every element $F \in \mathcal{G}$, where $\mathcal{X} = \vec{R} + \dots$.

Since every eigenvalue of the linear part of $\mathcal{X}$ is 1, then $\mathcal{X}$ is in the Poincaré domain without resonances, therefore there exists a analytic diffeomorphism $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $g^*\mathcal{X} = \vec{R}$, i.e. $\mathcal{X} = (Dg_{(X)})^{-1} \cdot g(X)$.

We claim that $g \circ F \circ g^{-1}(Y) = DF(0)Y$ for every $F \in \mathcal{G}$. In fact, from the same procedure as before we can observe that

$$(g \circ F \circ g^{-1})^*\vec{R} = \vec{R}.$$

Now, if we suppose that $g \circ F \circ g^{-1} = AX + P_l(X) + P_{l+1}(X) + \dots$, where $P_j(X)$ is a polynomial vector field of degree j , then it is easy to see using the Euler equality that

$$(g \circ F \circ g^{-1})^*\vec{R} = AX + lP_l(X) + (l+1)P_{l+1}(X) + \dots,$$

and therefore $P_j(X) \equiv 0$ for every $j \geq 2$. $\square$

4 Groups with some algebraic structure

In this section we are going to study the groups of diffeomorphisms, when they have some additional algebraic structure as abelian, nilpotent or solvable.

Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. The upper central series

$$Z_0 = \{id\} \subset Z_1(\mathcal{G}) \subset \dots \subset Z_n(\mathcal{G}) \subset \dots$$

of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined inductively where $Z_{j+1}(\mathcal{G})/Z_j(\mathcal{G})$ is the center of $\mathcal{G}/Z_j(\mathcal{G})$. The group $Z_j(\mathcal{G})$ is called the **j -th hypercenter** of $\mathcal{G}$. $\mathcal{G}$ is called **nilpotent** if $\mathcal{G} = Z_l(\mathcal{G})$ for some l . The smallest l for which $\mathcal{G} = Z_l(\mathcal{G})$ is the *nilpotency class* of $\mathcal{G}$.

In the same way for any subalgebra $\mathcal{L} \subset \hat{\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, we define $Z_j[\mathcal{L}]$ the j th hypercenter of $\mathcal{L}$ inductively such that $Z_{j+1}(\mathcal{L})/Z_j(\mathcal{L})$ is the center of $\mathcal{L}/Z_j(\mathcal{L})$ and we say that $\mathcal{L}$ is nilpotent of class l if l is the smallest integer such that $Z_l(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}$.

The commutator series

$$\mathcal{G}^0 = \mathcal{G} \supset \mathcal{G}^1 \supset \dots \supset \mathcal{G}^j \supset \dots$$

is defined inductively where $\mathcal{G}^j = [\mathcal{G}^{j-1}, \mathcal{G}^{j-1}]$ is the **j -th commutator subgroup**. $\mathcal{G}$ is called **solvable**, if there exists a positive integer l such that $\mathcal{G}^l = \{Id\}$. It is obvious that every nilpotent group is solvable.

Denoting $\mathcal{G}_1 \triangleleft \mathcal{G}$ the normal subgroup of the diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity, it is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}_1 \sim \Lambda_{\mathcal{G}} = \{DG(0) | G \in \mathcal{G}\},$$

therefore $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable if and only if $\Lambda_{\mathcal{G}}$ is solvable and $\mathcal{G}_1$ is solvable.

In addition, if $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable, and let $\{id\} = \mathcal{G}^l \triangleleft \mathcal{G}^{l-1} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft \mathcal{G}^1 \triangleleft \mathcal{G}$ the resolution string, then using the group homomorphism Λ we obtain a new resolution string

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{G}^l & \triangleleft & \mathcal{G}^{l-1} & \triangleleft & \dots & \triangleleft & \mathcal{G}^1 & \triangleleft & \mathcal{G} \\ \downarrow \Lambda & & \downarrow \Lambda & & \vdots & & \downarrow \Lambda & & \downarrow \Lambda \\ G^l & \triangleleft & G^{l-1} & \triangleleft & \dots & \triangleleft & G^1 & \triangleleft & G \end{array}$$

where $G^j = \Lambda(\mathcal{G}^j)$. Denote by $height(\mathcal{G}) = l$ the height of the resolution string of $\mathcal{G}$, then it is clear $height(G) \leq height(\mathcal{G})$. Note that in the case where $G < Gl(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a linear solvable group, it is known that $height(G)$ is limited by a function that only depends on n , $\rho(n)$. In fact Zassenhaus proved that $\rho(n) < 2n$ and Newman (see [16]) has found $\rho(n)$ for all n , in particular, $\rho(2) = 4$ and $\rho(3) = 5$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_j = \{G \in \mathcal{G}_1 | \text{where } G \text{ is } k\text{-flat, } k > j\}$. It is easy to see that

$$\dots \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_3 \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_2 \triangleleft \mathcal{G}_1$$

is a normal series and $\mathcal{G}_j/\mathcal{G}_{j+1}$ are abelian groups.

If $\mathcal{G}_1 \cap \widehat{\text{Diff}}_k(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \{id\}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the following lemma show that $\mathcal{G}_1$ is solvable, and provide a necessary condition in order to two diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity commute.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $F \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $G \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{s+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, then*

$$F(G(X)) - G(F(X)) = \nabla F_{r+1}(X) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) - \nabla G_{s+1}(X) \cdot F_{r+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}).$$

In particular, $[F, G] \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{r+s+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$

Proof: Let $F(X) = X + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} F_{k+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2})$ and $G(X) = X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(G(X)) &= X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} F_{k+1} \left(X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}) \right) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}) \\ &= X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} \left(F_{k+1}(X) + \nabla F_{k+1}(X) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + O(|X|^{k+s+2}) \right) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}) \\ &= X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} F_{k+1}(X) + \nabla F_{r+1}(X) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

In the same way we have

$$G(F(X)) = X + \sum_{k=s}^{r+s} G_{j+1}(X) + \sum_{k=r}^{r+s} F_{k+1}(X) + \nabla G_{s+1}(X) \cdot F_{r+1}(X) + O(|X|^{r+s+2}). \tag{2}$$

The lemma follows subtracting the equations (1) and (2). $\square$

Corollary 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a group of diffeomorphisms and suppose that there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \cap \text{Diff}_l(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) = \{id\}$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable if and only if $\mathcal{G}^{\rho(n)} \subset \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $\rho(n)$ is the Newman function.*

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a solvable subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, then $\mathcal{G}^{\rho(n)+1}$ is a nilpotent group.*

Proof: Observe that If $\mathcal{G}$ is a solvable group, then $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}^{\rho(n)} \subset \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a solvable group. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the algebra associate to the group H by the exp function. For every integer $i \geq 0$ denote $\text{VF}_i = \frac{\hat{\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}{\hat{\chi}_i(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)}$. Notice that for all $j > i$ there exists a natural projection $\text{VF}_j \rightarrow \text{VF}_i$, therefore we can think of $\hat{\chi}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ as a projective

limit $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \text{VF}_j$, moreover VF_j has a natural structure of finite dimensional complex algebraic linear algebra. Let $[\mathcal{L}]_i$ be the projection of $\mathcal{L}$ in VF_i , and $\overline{[\mathcal{L}]_i}$ the algebra of every linear combination. It follows that $\overline{[\mathcal{L}]_i}$ is a connected solvable Lie algebra of the same height as $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\overline{[\mathcal{L}]_i}$ is isomorphic to a linear Lie algebra, we know from the Lie-Kolchi theorem that they can be represented by upper triangular matrices, then $[\overline{[\mathcal{L}]_i}, \overline{[\mathcal{L}]_i}]$ is represented by nilpotent triangular matrices for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\mathcal{L}^1 = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [\mathcal{L}^1]_j$ is a nilpotent algebra and then $\mathcal{H}^1$ is a nilpotent group. $\square$

Corollary 4.2 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ a solvable subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}_1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, then $\mathcal{G}^1 = [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}]$ is a nilpotent group.*

From the proposition 2.1 is clear that if $\mathcal{G} \subset \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is solvable (nilpotent) if and only if the algebra associate to $\mathcal{G}$ by the exp function has to be solvable (nilpotent). In particular in dimension 2 we have

Proposition 4.1 *Every nilpotent subalgebra $\mathcal{L}$ of $\hat{\chi}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is metabelian.*

Proof: Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the center of $\mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is non trivial then $\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{K}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is a vector space of dimension 1 or 2 over $\hat{K}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, where $\hat{K}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^2)$.

In the case when the dimension is 2, there exist formal fields $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ linearly independent over $\hat{K}(\mathbb{C}^2)$, thus every element $\mathfrak{h} \in \mathcal{L}$, can be written as $\mathfrak{h} = u\mathfrak{f} + v\mathfrak{g}$, and since $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{R}$ follows $\mathfrak{f}(u) = \mathfrak{f}(v) = \mathfrak{g}(u) = \mathfrak{g}(v) = 0$, i.e. u and v are constants, therefore $\mathcal{L}$ is abelian algebra.

If the dimension of the center is 1, let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a non-trivial element of $\mathcal{R}$, and $\mathcal{S} = \hat{K}(\mathbb{C}^2)\mathfrak{f} \cap \mathcal{L}$, is clear that $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{S}$ is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$. In the case $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{S}$ we have nothing to proof. Otherwise, since $\mathcal{S}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{L}$, let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an element of $\mathcal{L}$ such that its image at $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{S}$ is in the center of $\mathcal{L}/\mathcal{S}$. In the same way every element of $\mathcal{L}$ is of the form $u\mathfrak{f} + v\mathfrak{g}$ where $\mathfrak{f}(u) = \mathfrak{f}(v) = 0$, moreover since $[u\mathfrak{f} + v\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}] \in \mathcal{S}$, i.e. $\mathfrak{g}(v) = 0$, follows that v is constant, and then $\mathcal{L}$ is a metabelian algebra. $\square$

Corollary 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{G}$ a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, then*

- a) *If $\mathcal{G}$ is solvable then $\mathcal{G}^7 = \{id\}$.*
- b) *If $\mathcal{G}$ is nilpotent then $\mathcal{G}^6 = \{id\}$.*

Observe that b) is weaker than the Ghys theorem

Theorem 4.2 (Ghys) *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a nilpotent subgroup of $\widehat{\text{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, then $\mathcal{G}$ is a metabelian group.*

The proposition 4.1 gives a characterization of abelian subgroup of $\text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$.

Corollary 4.4 *If $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a abelian group, then one of the following items are true*

1. $\mathcal{G} < \left\langle \exp(N\mathcal{X}) \in \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \left| \begin{array}{l} N \text{ rational holomorphic function} \\ \text{such that } \mathcal{X}(N) = 0 \end{array} \right. \right\rangle$ where $\exp(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathcal{G}$.
2. $\mathcal{G} < \langle F^{[t]} \circ G^{[s]} | t, s \in \mathbb{C} \rangle$, where $F, G \in \mathcal{G}$ and $[F, G] = \text{Id}$.

If F and G are two elements of an abelian group of diffeomorphisms tangent to the identity then from the lemma 4.1 we have

$$\nabla F_{r+1}(X) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) - \nabla G_{s+1}(X) \cdot F_{r+1}(X) \equiv 0. \quad (3)$$

It is clear that in the particular case when the dimension is 1 the equation (3) is equivalent to say $r = s$. In general, this is false in dimension > 1 , for example $F(X) = \exp(\mathfrak{f})(X) \in \text{Diff}_2(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and $G(X) = \exp(\mathfrak{g})(X) \in \text{Diff}_3(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, where

$$\mathfrak{f} = (x^2 + 3xy) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (3xy + y^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g} = (3x^3 - 5x^2y + xy^2 + y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x^3 + x^2y - 5xy^2 + 3y^3) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}.$$

Since $[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$ it follows that $F(X)$ and $G(X)$ commute. In addition, F and G are holomorphic diffeomorphisms because $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are holomorphic.

Definition 4.1 *Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. F is called **dicritic** if $F(X) = X + F_{r+1}(X) + \dots$, where $F_{r+1}(X) = f(X)X$ and f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r .*

We are going to prove a generalization of the dimension one classification of abelian group for dicritic diffeomorphisms.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $F \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{r+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ and $G \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{s+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Suppose that F is a dicritic diffeomorphism, and $F(G(X)) = G(F(X))$, then $r = s$ and G is also a dicritic diffeomorphism.*

Proof: For the lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla F_{r+1}(X) G_{s+1}(X) - \nabla G_{s+1}(X) F_{r+1}(X) &= \\ &= (f(X)I + (x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) - \nabla G_{s+1}(X) \cdot f(X)X \\ &= (f(X)I + (x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) - (s+1)f(X)G_{s+1}(X) \\ &= (-sf(X)I + (x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})) \cdot G_{s+1}(X) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

From the identity $\det(aI + AB) = \det(aI + BA)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \det(-sf(X)I + (x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})) \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} -sf(X) + x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \\ x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & -sf(X) + x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & -sf(X) + x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} -sf(X) & 0 & \cdots & sf(X) \\ 0 & -sf(X) & \cdots & sf(X) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & -sf(X) + x_n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{vmatrix} \\
&= \begin{vmatrix} -sf(X) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -sf(X) & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & x_2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & (r-s)f(X) \end{vmatrix} \\
&= (-s)^{n-1}(r-s)(f(X))^n
\end{aligned}$$

So multiplying by the adjoint matrix of $-sf(X)I + (x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j})$ we obtain $(-s)^{n-1}(r-s)(f(X))^n G_{s+1} = 0$ and then $r = s$. Denoting $G_{r+1} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)^t$, it is easy to see, multiplying the i row in (4) by x_n and subtracting the last row multiplied by x_i , that $-sf(X)x_n g_i + sf(X)x_i g_n = 0$. Therefore $x_n | g_n$. Defining $g = \frac{g_n}{x_n}$, we conclude $g_i = x_i g$ for all i . $\square$

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\mathcal{G} < \text{Diff}_1(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be abelian group, and $F \in \mathcal{G}$ dicritic diffeomorphism. Suppose that $\exp(\mathfrak{f})(x, y) = F(x, y)$ where*

$$\mathfrak{f} = (f(x, y)x + p_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (f(x, y)y + q_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

$f(x, y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree k and $\text{g.c.d}(f, xq_{k+2}(x, y) - yp_{k+2}(x, y)) = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{G} < \langle \exp(t\mathfrak{f})(x, y) | t \in \mathbb{C} \rangle.$$

Proof: Let $G \in \mathcal{G}$, for the proposition 4.2, G is a $k+1$ -flat dicritic diffeomorphism. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\exp(\mathfrak{g})(x, y) = G$, then

$$\mathfrak{g} = g(X)\vec{R} + (s_{k+2} + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (t_{k+2} + \cdots) \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

where $\vec{R} = x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ and $X = (x, y)$. Since $[\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{g}] = 0$, each j -jet has to be zero. The $2k+2$ -jet is $[f\vec{R}, s_{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}] + [p_{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q_{k+2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, g\vec{R}] = 0$, by a straightforward

calculation we have

$$[f\vec{R}, s_{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}] = (k+1)f(s_{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_{k+2}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}) - (s_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + t_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y})\vec{R},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (k+1)(fs_{k+2} - gp_{k+2}) &= x(s_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + t_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - p_{k+2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - q_{k+2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}) \\ (k+1)(ft_{k+2} - gq_{k+2}) &= y(s_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + t_{k+2}\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - p_{k+2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - q_{k+2}\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}) \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\frac{fs_{k+2} - gp_{k+2}}{x} = \frac{ft_{k+2} - gq_{k+2}}{y},$$

or equivalently, $f(xs_{k+2} - yt_{k+2}) = g(xq_{k+2} - yp_{k+2})$, but $\gcd(f, xq_{k+2} - yp_{k+2}) = 1$, it follows that $f|g$, and since f and g have the same degree then $g = rf$ where $r \in \mathbb{C}$. Substituting g we obtain the system of equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} (k+1)f - x\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & -x\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \\ -y\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & (k+1)f - y\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s_{k+2} - rp_{k+2} \\ t_{k+2} - rq_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

multiply by the adjoint matrix $(k+1)f^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_{k+2} - rp_{k+2} \\ t_{k+2} - rq_{k+2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ then $s_{k+2} = rp_{k+2}$ and $t_{k+2} = rq_{k+2}$. Notice that this last calculation is true in arbitrary dimension. Finally, suppose that $s_{k+j} = rp_{k+j}$ and $t_{k+j} = rq_{k+j}$ for $j = 2, \dots, i$. The $(2k+i+1)$ -jet of the bracket is $[f\vec{R}, s_{k+i+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_{k+i+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}] + [p_{k+i+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q_{k+i+1}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, g\vec{R}] = 0$ because the symmetrical terms of the summation

$$\sum_{j=2}^i [p_{k+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + q_{k+j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, s_{k+i+2-j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + t_{k+i+2-j}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}]$$

is zero. Then the equalities $s_{k+i+1} = rp_{k+i+1}$ and $t_{k+i+1} = rq_{k+i+1}$ follows in the same way to the case $k+2$. $\square$

Observation: The condition over F is generic and means that $(0,0) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ is an isolated singularity of $\mathfrak{f}_0 = (f(x,y)x + p_{k+2}(x,y))\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (f(x,y)y + q_{k+2}(x,y))\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$. With a similar condition the theorem is true in arbitrary dimension.

5 Convergent Orbits

Definition 5.1 Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, where $F(X) = X + F_{k+1}(X) + \dots$. We say that $[V] \in \mathbb{CP}(n-1)$ is a characteristic direction of F , if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$F_{k+1}(V) = \lambda V$$

Moreover the direction $[V]$ is called non degenerate if $\lambda \neq 0$.

Definition 5.2 Let $F \in \text{Diff}_2(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. A parabolic curve for F at the origin is an injective map $\varphi : D_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$, where $D_1 = \{x \mid |x - 1| \leq 1\}$, holomorphic in $\text{int}(D_1)$, such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(D_1)$ is invariant under F and $(F|_{D_1})^n \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$

Theorem 5.1 (Hakim [10]) Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, then for every non-degenerate characteristic direction $[V]$ there are a parabolic curves tangent to $[V]$ at the origin.

Theorem 5.2 (Abate [1]) Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that the origin is a isolated fixed point. Then there exist k parabolic curves for F at the origin.

Denote $r(v) = q_{k+1}(1, v) - vp_{k+1}(1, v)$ and $p(v) = p_{k+1}(1, v)$.

Lemma 5.1 Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and suppose that $(x_n, y_n) = F(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$ is a sequence converging to 0 such that $\frac{y_n}{x_n} \rightarrow v$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nx_n^k} = -kp(v)$$

Proof: After a blow up at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^2$ in the chart $y = xv$, we obtain the diffeomorphism

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{F}(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{k+2}p_{k+2}(1, v) + \dots \\ v + x^k r(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

Rewriting the first equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x_1^k} &= \frac{1}{(x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{k+2}p_{k+2}(1, v) + \dots)^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^k} (1 + x^k p(v) + x^{k+1} p_{k+2}(1, v) + \dots)^{-k} \\ &= \frac{1}{x^k} - kp(v) + o(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let's define $(x_j, v_j) = F(x_{j-1}, v_{j-1})$. From the equation above we get the telescopic sum

$$\frac{1}{x_n^k} - \frac{1}{x^k} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{x_j^k} - \frac{1}{x_{j-1}^k} = - \sum_{j=1}^n (kp(v_{j-1}) + o(x_{j-1}))$$

Divide by n and make n tends to ∞ , we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nx_n^k} = -k \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n kp(v_{j-1}) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{n} o(x_{j-1}) = -kp(v). \quad \square$$

Proposition 5.1 Let $(x_0, y_0) \in U$ open neighborhood of 0 such that the sequence $(x_j, y_j) = F(x_{j-1}, y_{j-1})$ converge to 0, and $\frac{y_j}{x_j}$ converge to $v \in \mathbb{CP}(1) = \overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then $r(v) = 0$.

Proof: From the lemma 5.1. we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nx_n^k} = -kp_{k+1}(1, v)$ and the same way $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{ny_n^k} = -kq_{k+1}(\frac{1}{v}, 1)$. Dividing these relations we get

$$\frac{1}{v^k} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_n^k}{y_n^k} = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{ny_n^k}}{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{nx_n^k}} = \frac{q_{k+1}(\frac{1}{v}, 1)}{p_{k+1}(1, v)},$$

therefore $v^{k+1}q_{k+1}(\frac{1}{v}, 1) - vp_{k+1}(1, v) = r(v) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 5.3 *Let $F : (\mathbb{C}^2, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a dicritic diffeomorphism fixing zero, i.e. F can be represented by a convergent series*

$$F(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x + xp_k(x, y) + p_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots \\ y + yp_k(x, y) + q_{k+2}(x, y) + \cdots \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\tilde{F} = \Pi^*F : (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D)$ the continuous extension of the diffeomorphism after making the blow-up in $(0, 0)$. Then there exist open sets $U^+, U^- \subset \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that

1. $\overline{U^+ \cup U^-}$ is a neighborhood of $D \setminus \{(1 : v) \in D | p_k(1, v) = 0\}$.
2. For all $P \in U^+$, the sequence $\{\tilde{F}^n(P)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}^n(P) \in D$.
3. For all $P \in U^-$, the sequence $\{\tilde{F}^{-n}(P)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{F}^{-n}(P) \in D$.

Proof: Making a blow up at $(0, 0)$, and regarding the diffeomorphism in the chart (x, v) , $v = \frac{y}{x}$ we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{F}(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} a_{k+j}(v)x^{k+j} \\ v + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k+j}(v)x^{k+j} \end{pmatrix},$$

where a_j and b_j are polynomial of degree less than $j + 2$. Let q be a arbitrary point in $D \setminus \{(1 : v) \in D | p(v) = 0\}$. We can suppose making a linear change of coordinates that $q = (0, 0) \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Since $\tilde{F}$ is holomorphic in some neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ there exist $r_1, r_2 > 0$ and constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\| \sup_{|v| \leq r_1} a_j(v) \| \leq C_1 r_2^j, \text{ and } \| \sup_{|v| \leq r_1} b_j(v) \| \leq C_2 r_2^j \text{ for all } j.$$

Now making a ramificated change of coordinates $w = \frac{1}{x^k}$, it follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix} = \overline{F}(w, v) = \begin{pmatrix} w - kp(v) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(v) \frac{1}{w^{\frac{j}{k}}} \\ v + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k+j}(v) \frac{1}{w^{1+\frac{j}{k}}} \end{pmatrix},$$

is holomorphic in $\{(w, v) \in \mathbb{C}_k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |v| < r_1, |w| > r_2^k\}$, where $\mathbb{C}_k$ is a k -fold covering of $\mathbb{C}^*$. In particular there exist $C_3, C_4 > 0$ such that

$$\| \sup_{|v| \leq r_1} c_j(v) \| \leq C_3 r_2^j \text{ for all } j \text{ and } \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} b_{k+j}(v) \frac{1}{w^{1+\frac{j}{k}}} \right| < \frac{C_4}{|w|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}.$$

Choose $r_1 > r > 0$ such that $\left| \frac{p(v)}{p(0)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{4}$ for all $|v| < r$, and

$$R > \max \left\{ r_2^k \left(\frac{4C_3}{k|p(0)|} + 1 \right)^k, \frac{(2C_4)^k}{r^k} \left(1 + \frac{4C(k)}{k|p(0)|} \right)^k, 1 \right\},$$

where $C(k) = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}} dx < k+1$. Let

$$V_q^+(a) = \left\{ (w, v) \in \mathbb{C}_k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |v| < a, \left| \arg \left(-\frac{w}{p(0)} - \frac{2R}{|p(0)|} \right) \right| < \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\},$$

where $\arg$ is defined from $\mathbb{C}_k^*$ to $(-\pi, \pi]$. It is easy to prove that $V_q^+(r) \subset \{(w, v) \in \mathbb{C}_k \times \mathbb{C} \mid |v| < r, |w| > R\}$.

Claim: For all $p = (w_0, v_0) \in V_q^+(\frac{r}{2})$ we have

1. $\overline{F}^n(p) = (w_n, v_n) \in V_q^+(r)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
2. The sequence $\{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge.
3. $|w_n| \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Proof of the claim: For a arbitrary point (w, v) in $V_q^+(r)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1 - (w - kp(0))| &\leq \left| k(p(0) - p(v)) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j(v) \frac{1}{w^{\frac{j}{k}}} \right| \\ &\leq k|p(0) - p(v)| + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} C_3 \frac{r_2^j}{|w|^{\frac{j}{k}}} < k \frac{|p(0)|}{4} + C_3 \frac{r_2}{|w|^{\frac{1}{k}} - r_2} \\ &< k \frac{|p(0)|}{4} + C_3 \frac{r_2}{r_2 \left(\frac{4C_3}{k|p(0)|} + 1 \right) - r_2} = k \frac{|p(0)|}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = (w_0, v_0) \in V_q^+(\frac{r}{2})$ and suppose that $(w_i, v_i) \in V_q^+(r)$ for $i = 0, \dots, j-1$. Then

$$|w_j - (w_0 - jkp(0))| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^j w_i - (w_{i-1} - kp(0)) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^j |w_i - (w_{i-1} - kp(0))| < jk \frac{|p(0)|}{2}$$

therefore $w_j = w_0 - jkp(0)(1 + \delta_j)$ where $|\delta_j| < \frac{1}{2}$, and

$$-\frac{w_j}{p(0)} - \frac{2R}{|p(0)|} = -\frac{w_0}{p(0)} - \frac{2R}{|p(0)|} + jk(1 + \delta_j),$$

but since $|\arg(1 + \delta_j)| < \frac{\pi}{3}$ it follows that $|\arg(-\frac{w_j}{p(0)} - \frac{2R}{|p(0)|})| < \frac{2\pi}{3}$. Now notice that $|v_i| < |v_{i-1}| + \frac{C_4}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, j$, therefore $|v_j| < |v_0| + \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{C_4}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$, but

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} &< \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(R^2 + (\frac{ik|p(0)|}{2})^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}} \\ &< \frac{1}{R^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} + 2 \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(R^2 + (\frac{k|p(0)|}{2})^2 x^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}} dx \\ &< \frac{1}{R^{\frac{1}{k}}} + \frac{4}{k|p(0)|R^{\frac{1}{k}}} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{\frac{k+1}{2k}}} dx = \frac{1}{R^{\frac{1}{k}}} \left(1 + \frac{4C(k)}{k|p(0)|}\right) \\ &< \frac{r}{2C_4}, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

it follows that $|v_j| < r$, and therefore $(w_j, v_j) \in V_q^+(r)$. Now we have trivially that $|w_j| = |w_0 - jkp(0)(1 + \delta_j)| \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence because $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_4}{|w_i|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$ is a Cauchy series.

In the same way we can obtain the open set V_q^- changing in the proof the diffeomorphism germ F by F^{-1} . Finally we conclude the proof making $U^+ = \bigcup V_q^+$ and $U^- = \bigcup V_q^-$ where $q \in D \setminus \{(1 : v) \in D | p_k(1, v) = 0\}$. $\square$

Theorem 5.4 *Let $F \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, where $F(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x + p_{k+1}(x, y) + \dots \\ y + q_{k+1}(x, y) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ and $r(v) = vp_{k+1}(1, v) - q_{k+1}(1, v) \not\equiv 0$. Suppose that $v_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $r(v_0) = 0$ and $\Re(\frac{r'(v_0)}{p(v_0)}) > 0$. Then there exist open sets U^+ and U^- such that $(0, 0) \in \partial U^{\pm}$ and for each point $(a^+, b^+) \in U^+$ and $(a^-, b^-) \in U^-$ the sequences $(a_n^{\pm}, b_n^{\pm}) = F^{\pm n}((a^{\pm}, b^{\pm}))$ converge and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^{\pm n}(a^{\pm}, b^{\pm}) = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n^+}{a_n^+} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n^-}{a_n^-} = v_0.$$

Proof: For a linear change of coordinates we can suppose that $v_0 = 0$. Let $r(v) = vs(v)$, $\alpha = s(0)$, $\beta = p(0)$ and $\tilde{F} : (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D) \rightarrow (\tilde{\mathbb{C}}^2, D)$ the continuous extension of the diffeomorphism after making the blow-up Π at $(0, 0)$, i.e. in the chart (x, v) where $y = vx$

$$(x_1, v_1) = \tilde{F}(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{k+2}(\dots) \\ v + x^k r(v) + x^{k+1}(\dots) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\tilde{F}|_D = id|_D$ we can forget the dynamic in $D = \{x = 0\}$ and make a ramificate change of coordinates $w = \frac{1}{x^k}$. Then F is representing in the (w, v) coordinates system as

$$(w_1, v_1) = \overline{F}(x, v) = \left(w - kp(v) + o\left(\frac{1}{w^{1/k}}\right), v + \frac{s(v)}{w} + o\left(\frac{1}{w^{1+1/k}}\right) \right).$$

In particular, there exists a neighborhood $A = \{(w, v) \in \mathbb{C}_k^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid |w| > R_1, |v| < r_1\}$ of $(\infty, 0)$ and constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$|w_1 - (w - kp(v))| < C_1 \frac{1}{|w|^{1/k}} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| v_1 - v \left(1 + \frac{s(v)}{w} \right) \right| < C_2 \frac{1}{|w|^{1+1/k}}.$$

Let denote $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2} - |\arg(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})| > 0$. Choose $0 < r < r_1$ such that

$$\left| \frac{p(v)}{\beta} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \left| \arg \frac{s(v)}{\alpha} \right| < \frac{\theta}{2}$$

and $R > \max \left\{ R_1 + 1, \left(\frac{2C_1}{|\beta|k \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^k, \frac{(2C_2)^k}{r^k} \left(1 + \frac{2C(k)}{k(1 - \sin \frac{\theta}{2})|\beta|} \right)^k \right\}$. Let define

$$V^+(a) = \{(w, v) \in A \mid |v| < a, \Re\left(\frac{w}{\alpha} e^{\pm \frac{\theta}{2}i}\right) < -R\}.$$

See that for all $(w, v) \in V^+(r)$ we have $\Re\left(\frac{w}{s(v)}\right) < -R$, i.e. $\frac{s(v)}{w}$ is in the disc of center $-\frac{1}{R}$ and radius $\frac{1}{R}$, it follows that

$$\left| 1 + \frac{s(v)}{w} \right| < 1.$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned} |w_1 - (w - k\beta)| &\leq |k(\beta - p(v))| + C_1 \frac{1}{|w|^{1/k}} \\ &\leq \frac{k|\beta|}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} + \frac{k|\beta|}{2} \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \\ &= k|\beta| \sin \frac{\theta}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $p = (w_0, v_0) \in V^+(\frac{r}{2})$ and suppose that $(w_i, v_i) \in V^+(r)$ for $i = 0, \dots, j-1$. Then

$$|w_j - (w_0 - jk\beta)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^j |w_i - (w_{i-1} - k\beta)| < jk|\beta| \sin \frac{\theta}{2},$$

therefore $w_j = w_0 - jk\beta(1 + \delta_j)$ where $|\delta_j| < \sin \frac{\theta}{2}$. Now since $\frac{w_j}{\alpha} = \frac{w_0}{\alpha} - jk\frac{\beta}{\alpha}(1 + \delta_j)$, and

$$\left| \arg\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}(1 + \delta_j)\right) \right| \leq \left| \arg \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right| + |\arg(1 + \delta_j)| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \theta + \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\theta}{2},$$

it follows that $\Re\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}(1 + \delta_j)e^{\pm \frac{\theta}{2}i}\right) \geq 0$ and therefore $\Re\left(\frac{w_j}{\alpha}e^{\pm \frac{\theta}{2}i}\right) < -R$.

In order to bound v_j , see that

$$|v_i| < \left| \left(1 + \frac{s(v_{i-1})}{w_{i-1}} \right) v_{i-1} \right| + \frac{C_2}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} \leq |v_{i-1}| + \frac{C_2}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, j$, using a similar calculation as the inequality in (5) we have

$$|v_j| < |v_0| + \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{C_2}{|w_{i-1}|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}} < r.$$

It follows that $(w_j, v_j) \in V^+(r)$, $|w_j| = |w_0 - jkp(0)(1 + \delta_j)| \rightarrow \infty$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence because $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_2}{|w_i|^{1+\frac{1}{k}}}$ is a Cauchy series. Moreover from the proposition 5.1 we know that $\{v_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to 0. $\square$

6 Normal forms

Let $\tilde{F}, \tilde{G} \in \text{Diff}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ such that their $(k+1)$ -jet are equal and suppose that they are formally conjugate. Let $F(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + \dots \\ v + x^k r(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ and $G(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + \dots \\ v + x^k r(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ be the diffeomorphisms obtained after the blow-up at 0 where $r(v)$ and $p(v)$ are polynomials of degree $(k+2)$ and $(k+1)$ respectively. Let H be a formal diffeomorphism that conjugates F and G . Notice that $H(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x^{l+1} h_{1,l}(v) \\ v + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} x^l h_{2,l}(v) \end{pmatrix}$ where $h_{1,l}$ and $h_{2,l}$ are polynomial of degree $l+1$ and $l+2$ respectively, in particular $h_{1,l}$ and $h_{2,l}$ are holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}$. The following definition is borrowed from [22].

Definition 6.1 *A formal Taylor series in x is called semi-formal in U if its coefficients holomorphically depend on v in the same domain U . A formal change of coordinates is called semi-formal in U if its components are semi-formal series in U .*

It is clear that if H is a semi-formal change of coordinates in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$, then F and G are semi-formally conjugates in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$. We are interested in finding some semi-formal invariant, for that we need the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *Let F and G as above. Suppose that $G - F = \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+1}\phi_1(v) + \dots \\ x^{k+l}\phi_2(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ and that $H(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{l+1}h_1(v) + \dots \\ v + x^l h_2(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ conjugates F and G , i.e. $G \circ H = H \circ F$. Then*

$$r(v) \begin{pmatrix} h_1(v) \\ h_2(v) \end{pmatrix}' - \begin{pmatrix} (k-l)p(v) & p'(v) \\ kr(v) & r'(v) - lp(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(v) \\ h_2(v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(v) \\ \phi_2(v) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

Proof: For the lemma 4.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= G \circ H - H \circ F = G \circ H - H \circ (G + (F - G)) \\
&= G \circ H - H \circ G - \nabla H(G) \cdot (F - G) + \begin{pmatrix} x^{2k+2l+2}(\dots) \\ x^{2k+2l}(\dots) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} (k+1)x^k p(v) & x^{k+1} p'(v) \\ kx^{k-1} r(v) & x^k r'(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{l+1} h_1(v) \\ x^l h_2(v) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} (l+1)x^l h_1(v) & x^{l+1} h'_1(v) \\ lx^{l-1} h_2(v) & x^l h'_2(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+1} p(v) \\ x^k r(v) \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+1} \phi_1(v) \\ x^{k+l} \phi_2(v) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+2}(\dots) \\ x^{k+l+1}(\dots) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+1}((k-l)p(v)h_1(v) + p'(v)h_2(v) - r(v)h'_1(v) + \phi_1(v)) \\ x^{k+l}(kr(v)h_1(v) + (r'(v) - lp(v))h_2(v) - r(v)h'_2(v) + \phi_2(v)) \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+2}(\dots) \\ x^{k+l+1}(\dots) \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain the linear differential equation (6). $\square$

Proposition 6.1 *Let F and G as in the lemma 6.1. Suppose that $r(v) \not\equiv 0$ and U is a simply connected open set of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{r(v) = 0\}$. Then (6) has holomorphic solution in U .*

Proof: It follows from the fundamental existence theorem for the holomorphic differential equations (See [23] Theorem 2.1). $\square$

Proposition 6.2 *Let v_0 be a root of $r(v)$. Suppose that $\frac{p(v_0)}{r'(v_0)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists a holomorphic solution (h_1, h_2) of (6) in some neighborhood of v_0 if and only if one of the following condition is true*

1. $l \neq k$.
2. $l = k$ and $p'(v_0)\phi_2(v_0) = (r'(v_0) - kp(v_0))\phi_1(v_0)$.

Moreover, that neighborhood is independent of the integer l .

Proof: Let $r(v) = (v - v_0)s(v)$ and $a = \frac{p(v_0)}{s(v_0)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we are interested in finding a solution of

$$(v - v_0)X' - \begin{pmatrix} (k-l)\frac{p(v)}{s(v)} & \frac{p'(v)}{s(v)} \\ k(v - v_0) & \frac{r'(v) - lp(v)}{s(v)} \end{pmatrix} X = \frac{1}{s(v)} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(v) \\ \phi_2(v) \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

in some neighborhood of v_0 .

Since the difference between the eigenvalues of $\begin{pmatrix} (k-l)\frac{p(v_0)}{s(v_0)} & \frac{p'(v_0)}{s(v_0)} \\ 0 & \frac{r'(v_0) - lp(v_0)}{s(v_0)} \end{pmatrix}$ is not a integer, it is known (See [23]) that there exists a holomorphic change of coordinates $Z = P(v)X$ ($P(v_0) = Id$) in some neighborhood $V = B(v_0, R)$ of v_0 such that the system of differential equations (7) is equivalent to

$$(v - v_0)Z' - \begin{pmatrix} (k-l)a & a\frac{p'(v_0)}{p(v_0)} \\ 0 & 1 - la \end{pmatrix} Z = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(v) \\ \psi_2(v) \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

In addition, R only depend on the radius of convergence of the series that defines locally each function in (7) around v_0 , and then independent of l .

Thus, we know that $\begin{pmatrix} \psi_1(v) \\ \psi_2(v) \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} B_j(v - v_0)^j$ for all $|v - v_0| < R$, and then if we write $Z = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j(v - v_0)^j$, we obtain for each j a linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} j - (k - l)a & -a \frac{p'(v_0)}{p(v_0)} \\ 0 & j - (1 - la) \end{pmatrix} A_j = B_j.$$

Notice that, in the case $l \neq k$ or $j \neq 0$ this equation has solution because $a \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and when $l = k$ and $j = 0$ we obtain the linear equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{p'(v_0)}{r'(v_0)} \\ 0 & -1 + k \frac{p'(v_0)}{r'(v_0)} \end{pmatrix} A_j = \frac{1}{r'(v_0)} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(v_0) \\ \phi_2(v_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

which has solution if and only if $p'(v_0)\phi_2(v_0) = (r'(v_0) - kp(v_0))\phi_1(v_0)$. Moreover

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|A_j\|^{\frac{1}{j}} \leq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \begin{pmatrix} j - (k - l)a & -a \frac{p'(v_0)}{p(v_0)} \\ 0 & j - 1 - la \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right\|^{\frac{1}{j}} \cdot \|B_j\|^{\frac{1}{j}} = \frac{1}{R},$$

Therefore that the solution is holomorphic in $B(v_0, R)$. $\square$

Theorem 6.1 *Let $F(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + \dots \\ v + x^k r(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$ and v_0 be a root of $r(v)$. Suppose that $0 \neq \frac{p(v_0)}{r'(v_0)} \notin \mathbb{Q}$. Then there exists $\lambda_{v_0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that F is semiformally conjugate with*

$$F_{\lambda_{v_0}} = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + \lambda_{v_0}x^{2k+1} \\ v + x^k r(v) \end{pmatrix}$$

in some neighborhood of v_0 .

Proof: We will go to construct the semiformal conjugation H by successive approximations. Define by induction the sequences F_l and H_l from the initial condition $F_0 = F$ and $H_0 = id$.

Now for $l \geq 1$ and $l \neq k$, from the proposition 6.1 we know that the system of differential equations (6) in the lemma 6.1, where $G = F_{l-1}$ and

$$F_{l-1} - F_{\lambda_{v_0}} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+l+1}\phi_{1,l}(v) + \dots \\ x^{k+l}\phi_{2,l}(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

has holomorphic solution $(h_{1,l}, h_{2,l})$ in some neighborhood U that is independent of l .

In the case $l = k$ see that

$$F_{k-1} - F_{\lambda_{v_0}} = \begin{pmatrix} x^{2k+1}(\phi_{1,k}(v) - \lambda_{v_0}) + \dots \\ x^{2k}\phi_{2,k}(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

then if we define

$$\lambda_{v_0} = \phi_1(v_0) - \frac{p'(v_0)\phi_2(v_0)}{r'(v_0) - kp(v_0)},$$

it follows for the proposition 6.1, that there exists holomorphic solution $(h_{1,k}, h_{2,k})$ of (6).

In any case we could define

$$H_l(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{l+1}h_{1,l}(v) \\ v + x^l h_{2,l}(v) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F_l = H_l^{-1} \circ F_{l-1} \circ H_l.$$

See that in this case F_l and H_l are holomorphic diffeomorphisms in U .

Moreover, $H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n \circ \dots \circ H_0$ is a semiformal diffeomorphism that conjugates F and $F_{\lambda_{v_0}}$ in U . $\square$

Since this conjugation is defined locally around some root of $r(v)$, and we are interested in some global conjugation defined at some neighborhood of the divisor, first we need to construct some diffeomorphism that can be locally conjugate with F around every point of the divisor.

For that, let $L_F(v)$ denote the Lagrange interpolation Polynomial of the points

$$(v_1, \lambda_1), \dots, (v_{k+2}, \lambda_{k+2}),$$

where v_j is root of $r(v) = 0$ and λ_j is the constant found in the theorem 6.1. It is a simple consequence of the theorem 6.1 that for all U simply connected open set that contains only one root of $r(v) = 0$ there exists a semiformal conjugation in U that conjugates F and

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + L_F(v)x^{2k+1} \\ v + x^k r(v) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Notice that the diffeomorphism represented by (9) does not necessarily come from the blow up of some element of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$.

But it is easy to see, using the theorem 6.1 that the blow up of

$$F_L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} x + P_{k+1}(x, y) + L_F(\frac{y}{x})x^{2k+1} \\ y + Q_{k+1}(x, y) - x^{2k-1}yL_F(\frac{y}{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

is locally semiformally conjugate with (9), and then it is locally semiformally conjugate with F for $k \geq 2$. Moreover, in the chart (x, v) the diffeomorphism F_L has the following representation

$$\Pi^* F_L(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + L_F(v)x^{2k+1} \\ v + x^k r(v) - x^{2k}r(v)p(v) + x^{3k}(\dots) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k+2}$ a covering of $\mathbb{CP}(1) = \Pi^{-1}(0)$ such that $U_i, U_i \cap U_j$ are simply connected open sets, such that no four of them have a nonempty intersection and v_i is in U_j if and only if $i = j$. For each j there exists a semiformal diffeomorphism H_j such that $H_j \circ F = F_L \circ H_j$ in U_j .

Let $H_{ij} = H_j \circ H_i$ semiformal diffeomorphism defined in $U_j \cap U_i$. Observe that each $H_{i,j}$ commutes with F_L .

Theorem 6.2 *The cocycle $\{H_{ij}\}$ determine the class of formal conjugation of F .*

First, we are going to prove that the cocycle $\{H_{ij}\}$ determine the class of semiformal conjugation of F . In fact suppose that $\{H_{ij} = H'_j \circ H_i'^{-1}\}$ is the cocycle associate to G . Then

$$H_j \circ H_i^{-1} = H'_j \circ H_i'^{-1}$$

in $U_i \cap U_j$, thus $H = H_j^{-1} \circ H'_j = H_i^{-1} \circ H'_i$ is a global semiformal diffeomorphism that conjugate F and G . Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ v \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_{1j}(v)x^j \\ v + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_{2j}(v)x^j \end{pmatrix}$$

be the representation of H at the chart $(x, v) \in U_1$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix} y \\ s \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} y + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{1j}(s)y^j \\ s + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_{2j}(s)y^j \end{pmatrix}$$

be the representation of H at the chart $(y, s) \in U_2$ where $U_1 \simeq U_2 \simeq (\mathbb{C}, 0) \times \mathbb{C}$ and the change of coordinates between U_1 and U_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 \cap U_2 &\rightarrow U_1 \cap U_2 \\ (x, v) &\mapsto (y, s) = (vx, \frac{1}{v}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have that

$$\left(ys + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_{1j}(\frac{1}{s})(ys)^j \right) \left(\frac{1}{s} + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_{2j}(\frac{1}{s})(ys)^j \right) = y + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} b_{1j}(s)y^j$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\frac{1}{s} + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} a_{2j}(\frac{1}{s})(ys)^j} = s + \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} b_{2j}(s)y^j$$

for every $(y, s) \in (\mathbb{C}, 0) \times \mathbb{C}^*$.

Thus, it is easy to prove making the product and proceeding by induction that $a_{2j}(\frac{1}{s})s^{j-1}$ is holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ and therefore $a_{2j}(v)$ has to be a polynomial of degree $\leq j-1$, the same way $a_{1j}(v)$ has to be a polynomial of degree $\leq j$. Then, we can conclude that H is a blow up of the formal diffeomorphism in the variables x, y that conjugate F and G . $\square$

Observe that in the dicritic case, i.e. $r \equiv 0$, the system of equations (6) of the lemma 6.1 reduces to

$$\begin{pmatrix} (k-l)p(v) & p'(v) \\ 0 & -lp(v) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1(v) \\ h_2(v) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(v) \\ \phi_2(v) \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Theorem 6.3 Let $\tilde{F} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_{k+1}(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be dicritic diffeomorphism and $F(x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{k+2}(\dots) \\ v + x^{k+1}(\dots) \end{pmatrix}$. Then there exists a unique rational function $q(v)$ such that F is semiformally conjugate to

$$G_F = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{k+1}p(v) + x^{2k+1}q(v) \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{p(v) = 0\}$. In addition, $q(v) = \frac{s(v)}{p(v)^{2k+1}}$ where $s(v)$ is a polynomial of degree $2k + 2 + 2k\partial(p(v))$.

Proof: Follows the same procedure to the proof of theorem 6.1. Define by induction the sequences F_n and H_n from the initial condition $F_0 = F$ and $H_0 = id$, and for $j > 0$ ($j \neq k$), if

$$F_{j-1} - G_F = \begin{pmatrix} x^{k+j+1}\phi_{1,j}(v) + \dots \\ x^{k+j}\phi_{2,j}(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

define

$$H_j = (x, v) = \begin{pmatrix} x + x^{j+1}h_{1,j}(v) \\ v + x^jh_{2,j}(v) \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } F_j = H_j^{-1} \circ F_{j-1} \circ H_j,$$

where $(h_{1,j}, h_{2,j})$ is the unique solution of (10), i.e. $h_{1,j} = \frac{\phi_{1,j}(v)}{(k-j)p(v)} + \frac{p'(v)\phi_{2,j}(v)}{jp(v)^2}$ and $h_{2,j}(v) = -\frac{\phi_{2,j}(v)}{jp(v)}$. See that the degree of $h_{1,j}$ and $h_{2,j}$ are $j+1$ and $j+2$.

In the case $j = k$ we have that

$$F_{k-1} - G_F = \begin{pmatrix} x^{2k+1}(\phi_{1,k}(v) - q(v)) + \dots \\ x^{2k}\phi_{2,k}(v) + \dots \end{pmatrix},$$

where $q(v)$ is the unique function such that the system of equations (10) has solution, i.e. $h_{2,k}(v) = -\frac{\phi_{2,k}(v)}{kp(v)} = \frac{\phi_{1,k}(v) - q(v)}{p'(v)}$, therefore

$$q(v) = \phi_{1,k}(v) - \frac{p'(v)\phi_{2,k}(v)}{kp(v)}.$$

Finally, it is easy to prove inductively that $\phi_{i,j}$ ($i = 1, 2$) are of the form $\frac{\psi_{i,j}}{p(v)^{2j}}$ where $\psi_{1,j}$ and $\psi_{2,j}$ are polynomials of degree $k+j+1+2j\partial(p(v))$ and $k+j+2+2j\partial(p(v))$ respectively, and then we conclude that $q(v)$ is of form $q(v) = \frac{s(v)}{p(v)^{2k+1}}$ where $s(v)$ is a polynomial of degree $2k + 2 + 2k\partial(p(v))$. $\square$

Acknowledgment: The author are grateful to Prof. César Camacho for suggesting the problem. This work was supported by the IMPA-CNPq, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.

References

- [1] M. Abate. *The residual index and the dynamics of holomorphic maps tangent to the identity*. Duke mathematical Journal. Vol 107, number 1 (2001) pag 173-206
- [2] V. Arnold “*Chapitres Supplémentaires de la Théorie des Équations Différentielles Ordinaires*” Mir (1980)
- [3] C. Camacho. “*On the structure of conformal mapping and holomorphic vector fields in C^2* ”. Asterisque 59-60 (1978) pag 83-94
- [4] C. Camacho, A. Lins Neto & P. Sad. “*Topological invariants and equidesingularization for holomorphic vector fields*”. J. differential geometry, 20 (1984) pag 143-174.
- [5] J.C. Canille Martins. *Holomorphic flows in $(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ with resonances*. Trans. of the American Mathematical society. 329 (1992) pag 825-837
- [6] D. Cerveau et R. Moussu. “*Groupes d’automorphismes de $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ et equations différentielles $ydy + \dots = 0$* ”, Bull. Soc. Math France 116 (1988) pag 459-488
- [7] J. Dixon. *The Structure of linear groups*, Van Nostrand (1971)
- [8] J. Écalle. “*Les fonctions résurgentes, Vol I,II et III*”, Preprint Mathématiques d’Orsay (1982-1985). Université de Paris-Sud, France.
- [9] Elizarov, P. M.; Il’yashenko, Yu. S.; Shcherbakov, A. A.; Voronin, S. M. “*Finitely generated groups of germs of one-dimensional conformal mappings, and invariants for complex singular points of analytic foliations of the complex plane*”. Nonlinear Stokes phenomena, Adv. Soviet Math., 14(1993) pag 57-105
- [10] M. Hakim. “*Analytic transformations of $(\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ tangent to the identity*”. Duke Mathematical Journal, 92 (1998) pag 403-428.
- [11] M. Herman. “*Recent results and some open questions on Siegel’s linearization theorem of germs of complex analytic diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{C}^n$ near a fixed point*”, Proceedings 8^{esima} Int. Conf. Math. Phys., World Scientific Publisher, Singapour (1987).
- [12] J. Martinet et J.P Ramis. “*Classification analytique des équations différentielles non linéaires résonnantes du premier ordre*”, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 13 (1983) pag 571-621
- [13] B. Malgrange. “*Introduction aux travaux de J. Ecalle*”, L’Enseignement Mathématique 31 (1985) pag 261-282.
- [14] J.F Mattei et R. Moussu. “*Holonomie et Intégrales Premières*”. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. 13 (1980)

- [15] I. Nakai. “*Separatrices for non solvable dynamic on $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$* ”. Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 44,2 (1994) pag 569-599.
- [16] M. F. Newman. *The soluble lenght of soluble linear groups*. Math. Z. 126 (1972) pag 59-70
- [17] J.F. Plante. “*Lie Algebras of vector fields which vinish at a point* Jour. London Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1988) pag 379-384.
- [18] R. Perez Marco. “*Solution complète au probleme de Siegel de linérisation d’une application holomorphe au voisinage d’un point fixe*”, Asterisque 206 (1992).
- [19] T. Ueda. “*Local structure of analytic transformations of two complex variables, I*”, J. Math Kyoto Univ. 26-2 (1986) pag 233-261
- [20] T. Ueda. “*Local structure of analytic transformations of two complex variables, II*”, J. Math Kyoto Univ. 31-3 (1991) pag 695-711.
- [21] S.M. Voronin. “*Analytic classification of germs of maps $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with identical liner part*”, Funct. Anal. 15 (1981) pag 1-17.
- [22] S.M Voronin. *The Darboux-Whitney theorem and Related questions*, Non linear Stokes Phenomena. Adv. Sov. Math 14 (1993) pag 139-234.
- [23] W. Wasow. *Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equation*, Interscience Publ.(1965)
- [24] B.A.F. Wehrfritz. *Infinite linear groups*. Springer-Verlag (1973).

Fabio Enrique Brochero Martínez

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA)

Estrada Dona Castorina 110

CEP 22460-320

Rio de Janeiro, RJ

Brasil

fbrocher@impa.br